

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,097	11/14/2003	Daisuke Nakamura	· 016914-0230	1950	
22428	7590 12/27/2005		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500			HAUGLAND, SCOTT J		
3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			3654 .		

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/712,097	NAKAMURA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Scott Haugland	3654	

	Scott Haugland	3654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba īdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final reject E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ion. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	1 2 00.011/1	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	• • •		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Almonto Electer	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		·	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:		No(s) KATHY MAT SUPERVISORY PATE	
		OUL PUNISOUT LYIE	THE CANNIES OF

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation relating to the emergency signal added to claim 1, line 15 and claim 2, line 17 raises new issues.